IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.

Civil Revision No.6078 of 2006

Date of Decision: September 21, 2007

Advance Valves Private Limited .....Petitioner

Versus

Kirloskar Pneymatic Co. Ltd. .....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S. D. ANAND

Present: Mr.Ritesh Khatri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Sandeep Vermani, Advocate for the respondent.

S. D. ANAND, J.

The impugned order consists of two facets. Vide one part of it, the then learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division) allowed an application filed by the plaintiff-respondent under Order VI Rule 17 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The other facet relates to the closure of the petitioner-defendant's evidence under the orders of the Court as no DW was present on that date. In so far as the first facet of the impugned order is concerned, the learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the challenge. That part of the challenge shall stand disposed of accordingly as not pressed.

In so far as the other facet of the impugned order is concerned, learned counsel for the plaintiff-respondent agreed that yet another opportunity may be afforded to the petitioner-defendant to adduce evidence. He, however, requested that the disposal of the matter may be made a time-

bound affair as the Civil Suit under reference pertain to the year 2001.

The revision petition qua that facet of the order shall stand allowed accordingly. The petitioner-defendant shall be entitled to avail of two opportunities for concluding evidence. The matter is presently listed before the learned Trial Court on 29.9.2007. The learned Trial Court shall dispose of the Civil Suit by or on 29.11.2007.

(S. D. ANAND)
JUDGE

September 21, 2007
SRM

Note: Whether referred to reporter or not? Yes/No